

Optimal Guessing Strategies in a Quantum Card Game

C.-L. Chou* and L. Y. Hsu†

**Department of Physics, Chung-Yuan Christian University, Taoyuan, Taiwan 32023*

†Department of Physics, National Taiwan University, Taipei, Taiwan 10673

(February 9, 2008)

Abstract

Three different quantum cards which are non-orthogonal quantum bits are sent to two different players, Alice and Bob, randomly. Alice receives one of the three cards, and Bob receives the remaining two cards. We find that Bob could know better than Alice does on guessing Alice's card, no matter what Bob chooses to measure his two cards collectively or separately. We also find that Bob's best strategy for guessing Alice's card is to measure his two cards collectively.

Typeset using REVTeX

*choucl@cycu.edu.tw

†cdhu6@phys.ntu.edu.tw

I. INTRODUCTION

It has been questioned by Peres and Wootters [1] some time ago that whether more information can be extracted from a composite quantum system by performing collective measurements on the system as a whole. Several studies addressed the same question and showed that collective measurements usually provide more information than the measurements on the individual subsystems [2–5]. Quantum entanglement is believed to be responsible for this. In this paper, we want to address another question for composite quantum systems. Suppose a quantum system is composed of two entangled subsystems A and B. Which one of the two subsystem provides more information than the other subsystem? To give more insight to the question, we investigate the following quantum card game.

The game that we consider has two players, Alice and Bob, and one card dealer. The dealer holds three quantum cards which are non-orthogonal spin-1/2 particles $|\psi_1\rangle = (1, 0)$, $|\psi_2\rangle = (1/2, \sqrt{3}/2)$ and $|\psi_3\rangle = (-1/2, \sqrt{3}/2)$, respectively. In the beginning of the game, the dealer shuffles the cards randomly and gives Alice one of the cards. Bob picks the remaining two cards from the dealer. Both players then make their own guesses on what card is in Alice's hand. After making their guesses, the dealer will check their answers and decide who is the winner of the game. Based upon the game description, we can view the dealer's cards as a composite quantum system ρ and both Alice's card and Bob's cards as two subsystems, ρ_A and ρ_B , of the composite system.

$$\begin{aligned} \rho = \frac{1}{6} \{ & |\psi_1\rangle\langle\psi_1|_A \otimes |\psi_2\psi_3\rangle\langle\psi_2\psi_3|_B + |\psi_1\rangle\langle\psi_1|_A \otimes |\psi_3\psi_2\rangle\langle\psi_3\psi_2|_B \\ & + |\psi_2\rangle\langle\psi_2|_A \otimes |\psi_1\psi_3\rangle\langle\psi_1\psi_3|_B + |\psi_2\rangle\langle\psi_2|_A \otimes |\psi_3\psi_1\rangle\langle\psi_3\psi_1|_B \\ & + |\psi_3\rangle\langle\psi_3|_A \otimes |\psi_1\psi_2\rangle\langle\psi_1\psi_2|_B + |\psi_3\rangle\langle\psi_3|_A \otimes |\psi_2\psi_1\rangle\langle\psi_2\psi_1|_B \} \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_A \equiv Tr_B(\rho) &= \frac{1}{3}(|\psi_1\rangle\langle\psi_1|_A + |\psi_2\rangle\langle\psi_2|_A + |\psi_3\rangle\langle\psi_3|_A) \\ &= diag(1/2, 1/2) \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_B \equiv Tr_A(\rho) &= \frac{1}{6} \{ |\psi_1\psi_2\rangle\langle\psi_1\psi_2|_B + |\psi_2\psi_1\rangle\langle\psi_2\psi_1|_B + |\psi_1\psi_3\rangle\langle\psi_1\psi_3|_B \\ &+ |\psi_3\psi_1\rangle\langle\psi_3\psi_1|_B + |\psi_2\psi_3\rangle\langle\psi_2\psi_3|_B + |\psi_3\psi_2\rangle\langle\psi_3\psi_2|_B \} \end{aligned}$$

$$+ |\psi_3\psi_1\rangle\langle\psi_3\psi_1|_B + |\psi_2\psi_3\rangle\langle\psi_2\psi_3|_B + |\psi_3\psi_2\rangle\langle\psi_3\psi_2|_B\} \quad (3)$$

In the classical world, all the cards are orthogonal to each other and thus totally distinguishable. Alice knows exactly what her card is by simply looking at the card she has. On the other hand, Bob also concludes on what Alice has in hand by simply looking at his two cards. Both players always agree with each other and thus there is no possibilities of cheating for both Alice and Bob. Therefore, it is not likely for them to gamble on what card is in Alice's hand because of the lack of uncertainty in the game. That is, both Alice and Bob possess the same amount of information on Alice's card.

In the quantum world, the non-orthogonal nature of the cards take parts in this game. The quantum cards are no longer totally distinguishable. Therefore the game becomes uncertain and the gambling between Alice and Bob is possible. Alice no longer determines what she has in hand with 100 percent certainty and so does Bob. For instance, if Alice performs Stern-Gerlach measurement on her card along the direction $|\phi_\alpha\rangle = (\cos\alpha, \sin\alpha)$, she would obtain the spin-up or the spin-down result with the following probabilities:

$$\begin{aligned} P(up) &= \text{Tr}\{|\phi_\alpha\rangle\langle\phi_\alpha|\rho_A\} \\ P(down) &= 1 - P(up) \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

In the game considered, Alice always measures the spin-up or spin-down outcomes with equal probabilities, $P(up) = P(down) = 1/2$, no matter what the measuring direction $|\phi_\alpha\rangle$ is. As shown in Table. I, when the outcome r is obtained after the measurement, Alice knows *a posteriori* the probability $P(i|r)$ for preparation $|\psi_i\rangle$. She simply cannot determine what her card is with 100 percent certainty.

II. THE OPTIMAL STRATEGIES FOR ALICE

Alice has one quantum card in hand. The card is actually a spin-1/2 particle which is described by ρ_A . As seen from the previous section, all $|\psi_1\rangle$, $|\psi_2\rangle$ and $|\psi_3\rangle$ are spin-up directions on the ZX plane and equally separated. Thus the density operator ρ_A

possesses a Z_3 symmetry which leaves ρ_A invariant under the rotation about Y axis $|\psi_i\rangle \rightarrow R_y(2\pi/3)|\psi_i\rangle$. Therefore Alice may choose to measure her card along $|\phi_\alpha\rangle = (\cos\alpha, \sin\alpha)$ direction ($-\pi/6 \leq \alpha \leq \pi/6$) on the ZX plane without lose of generality.

In the α range that we consider, the conditional probability $P(1|r)$ is the largest one among all probabilities $P(i|r)$ when the measurement outcome r is spin-up. Thus Alice should guess $|\psi_1\rangle$ on her card when she measures a spin-up outcome. On the other hand when the outcome is spin-down, Alice may adopt three strategies. The first strategy is to guess $|\psi_3\rangle$ for $0 < \alpha \leq \pi/6$. It thus leads to the successful guessing probability for Alice:

$$P(\text{success}) = \frac{1}{3}\{\cos^2\alpha + \sin^2(\alpha + \frac{\pi}{3})\}. \quad (5)$$

It is easily found that when $\alpha = \pi/12$, the first strategy has an optimal value $P(\text{success}) = (2 + \sqrt{3})/6 \approx 0.622$. The second strategy is guessing $|\psi_2\rangle$ for $-\pi/6 \leq \alpha < 0$. This one has the same optimal guessing probability as the first strategy at $\alpha = -\pi/12$. The third strategy is to randomly guess $|\psi_2\rangle$ or $|\psi_3\rangle$ with equal probability for $\alpha = 0$. This strategy is not optimal simply because it has a smaller successful probability of guessing.

It is noted that the optimal probability can also be obtained by minimizing Shannon entropy. For example, Alice choose the first strategy to guess her card in hand. When the measurement outcome is spin-up, Alice will guess her card successfully with probability $(2\cos^2\alpha)/3$, and make a wrong guess with probability $1 - (2\cos^2\alpha)/3$. When the measurement outcome is spin-down, she guesses the card successfully with probability $2\sin^2(\alpha + \pi/3)/3$ and guesses it wrong with probability $1 - 2\sin^2(\alpha + \pi/3)/3$. Thus the Shannon entropy S for the "success-failure" binary information is:

$$\begin{aligned} S &= -\sum_r P(r)\{P(\text{success}|r)\text{Log}_2 P(\text{success}|r) + P(\text{failure}|r)\text{Log}_2 P(\text{failure}|r)\} \\ &= -(\frac{1}{3}\cos^2\alpha)\text{Log}_2(\frac{2}{3}\cos^2\alpha) - (\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{3}\cos^2\alpha)\text{Log}_2(1 - \frac{2}{3}\cos^2\alpha) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{3}\sin^2(\alpha + \frac{\pi}{3})\text{Log}_2(\frac{2}{3}\sin^2(\alpha + \frac{\pi}{3})) - (\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{3}\sin^2(\alpha + \frac{\pi}{3}))\text{Log}_2(1 - \frac{2}{3}\sin^2(\alpha + \frac{\pi}{3})) \quad (6) \end{aligned}$$

The minimum of the entropy S occurs at $\alpha = \pi/12$ for $0 < \alpha \leq \pi/6$.

III. THE OPTIMAL STRATEGIES FOR BOB

Bob receives two cards from the dealer. Before measuring his cards, Bob knows that there are six possible combinations for the his cards. They are $|A\rangle \equiv |\psi_1\psi_2\rangle$, $|A'\rangle \equiv |\psi_2\psi_1\rangle$, $|B\rangle \equiv |\psi_2\psi_3\rangle$, $|B'\rangle \equiv |\psi_3\psi_2\rangle$, $|C\rangle \equiv |\psi_3\psi_1\rangle$ and $|C'\rangle \equiv |\psi_1\psi_3\rangle$. These six states are not orthogonal states. That means Bob is no way of distinguishing them with 100 percent certainty. However, Bob does not really need to know exactly what he has in hand to infer Alice's card. For example, Bob will infer that Alice's card is $|\psi_3\rangle$ if he thinks he has $|A\rangle$ or $|A'\rangle$ in hand. If Bob has $|B\rangle$ or $|B'\rangle$, he will infer $|\psi_1\rangle$ for Alice's card. Similarly if Bob has $|C\rangle$ or $|C'\rangle$, he will think that Alice has the $|\psi_2\rangle$ card in hand.

To make a reasonable guess, Bob needs to measure his cards before guessing. There are two kinds of the measuring methods: (1) Measure the two cards on by one, or (2) Measure them collectively. For the first method, Bob will measure his first card correctly with the optimal probability $P_1 = (2 + \sqrt{3})/6$. The second card then can be measured correctly with the optimal probability $P_2 = (2 + \sqrt{3})/4$. Thus Bob can know his cards exactly with the optimal probability P_{12} :

$$P_{12} = P_1 \times P_2 = \frac{7 + 4\sqrt{3}}{24} \approx 0.5803 \quad (7)$$

However, except for measuring his cards successfully Bob can also infer the same card for Alice by making two consecutive incorrect guesses on his cards. For example, assume that Bob does receive $|\psi_2\psi_3\rangle$ cards from dealer. If the measurement result is $|\psi_3\psi_2\rangle$, he still infer the same result $|\psi_1\rangle$ for Alice. For this case, the probability of a successful guess is P_{21} :

$$P_{21} = (1 - \frac{2 + \sqrt{3}}{6}) \times \frac{1}{2} \times \frac{1}{2} = \frac{4 - \sqrt{3}}{24} \quad (8)$$

Therefore, the optimal probability that Bob will infer the correct card for Alice is $P_{Bob}(separate)$:

$$P_{Bob}(separate) = P_{12} + P_{21} = \frac{11 + 3\sqrt{3}}{24} \approx 0.6748 > 0.6220 \quad (9)$$

It is obviously that by measuring his cards one by one, Bob has a higher optimal guessing probability than Alice does on guessing Alice's card.

The other measuring method is doing combined measurement on Bob's cards. Since the dimension of the Hilbert space for Bob's cards is 4, Bob needs to choose a suitable orthonormal basis $\{|\phi_1\rangle, |\phi_2\rangle, |\phi_3\rangle, |\phi_4\rangle\}$ in the Hilbert space for his measurement.

$$|\phi_1\rangle = a_1|A_1\rangle + a_2|A_2\rangle + a_3|A_3\rangle + a_4|A_4\rangle \quad (10)$$

$$|\phi_2\rangle = b_1|B_1\rangle + b_2|B_2\rangle + b_3|B_3\rangle + b_4|B_4\rangle \quad (11)$$

$$|\phi_3\rangle = c_1|C_1\rangle + c_2|C_2\rangle + c_3|C_3\rangle + c_4|C_4\rangle \quad (12)$$

where the base vectors $|\phi_1\rangle$, $|\phi_2\rangle$, and $|\phi_3\rangle$ are written in terms of other orthonormal bases $\{|A_i\rangle$, $\{|B_i\rangle$, and $\{|C_i\rangle$ in the Hilbert space, respectively.

$$\begin{aligned} |A_1\rangle &\equiv \sqrt{\frac{2}{5}}(|A\rangle + |A'\rangle), & |A_2\rangle &\equiv \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}(|A\rangle - |A'\rangle) \\ |A_3\rangle &\equiv \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}(|\psi_1, \psi_1\rangle - |\psi_2, \psi_2\rangle), \\ |A_4\rangle &\equiv \frac{1}{3}\sqrt{\frac{2}{5}}(|\psi_1, \psi_1\rangle + |\psi_2, \psi_2\rangle + 4|\psi_3, \psi_3\rangle) \\ |B_1\rangle &\equiv \sqrt{\frac{2}{5}}(|B\rangle + |B'\rangle), & |B_2\rangle &\equiv \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}(|B\rangle - |B'\rangle) \\ |B_3\rangle &\equiv \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}(|\psi_2, \psi_2\rangle - |\psi_3, \psi_3\rangle), \\ |B_4\rangle &\equiv \frac{1}{3}\sqrt{\frac{2}{5}}(|\psi_2, \psi_2\rangle + |\psi_3, \psi_3\rangle + 4|\psi_1, \psi_1\rangle) \\ |C_1\rangle &\equiv \sqrt{\frac{2}{5}}(|C\rangle + |C'\rangle), & |C_2\rangle &\equiv \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}(|C\rangle - |C'\rangle) \\ |C_3\rangle &\equiv \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}(|\psi_3, \psi_3\rangle - |\psi_1, \psi_1\rangle), \\ |C_4\rangle &\equiv \frac{1}{3}\sqrt{\frac{2}{5}}(|\psi_3, \psi_3\rangle + |\psi_1, \psi_1\rangle + 4|\psi_2, \psi_2\rangle) \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

The unknown coefficients a_i , b_i , and c_i should be determined by the optimal guessing strategies and are assumed to be real numbers for simplicity. They satisfy the following orthonormal conditions:

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=1}^4 a_k^2 &= 1 \\
\sum_{k=1}^4 b_k^2 &= 1 \\
\sum_{k=1}^4 c_k^2 &= 1 \\
\langle \phi_i | \phi_j \rangle &= \delta_{ij}.
\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

It is noted that the base vector $|\phi_4\rangle$ can be determined once the other vectors $|\phi_i\rangle$ are determined. Any measurement M along the $|\phi_4\rangle$ direction can be related to the measurements along other directions.

$$Tr(|\phi_4\rangle\langle\phi_4|M) = Tr(M) - \sum_{k=1}^3 Tr(|\phi_k\rangle\langle\phi_k|M) \tag{15}$$

With the orthonormal measuring basis, Bob makes the following guessing strategy: (a) Guess $|\psi_3\rangle$, $|\psi_1\rangle$, or $|\psi_2\rangle$ for Alice's card when the measurement outcome is $|\phi_1\rangle$, $|\phi_2\rangle$, or $|\phi_3\rangle$, respectively. (b) When the outcome is $|\phi_4\rangle$, Bob has three choices in general: (I) Guess one of the three cards for Alice. For example, guess $|\psi_3\rangle$ for Alice. (II) Guess Alice's card randomly from two of the three possible cards. For example, randomly guess $|\psi_3\rangle$ or $|\psi_1\rangle$. (III) Make a random guess from the three cards. In general, different guessing choices for the outcome $|\phi_4\rangle$ may lead to different optimal guessing probabilities. However, numerical study shows that all these three choices have the same optimal guessing probabilities which correspond to the same measuring basis. Therefore, we will discuss only the third guessing choices in the paper.

With the guessing strategy, Bob knows that the probability for a successful guessing on Alice's card is $P_{Bob}(combined)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
P_{Bob}(combined) &= \frac{1}{6} \{ \langle A|\phi_1\rangle^2 + \langle A'|\phi_1\rangle^2 + \langle B|\phi_2\rangle^2 + \langle B'|\phi_2\rangle^2 \\
&\quad + \langle C|\phi_3\rangle^2 + \langle C'|\phi_3\rangle^2 + \frac{1}{3}(\langle A|\phi_4\rangle^2 + \langle A'|\phi_4\rangle^2 \\
&\quad + \langle B|\phi_4\rangle^2 + \langle B'|\phi_4\rangle^2 + \langle C|\phi_4\rangle^2 + \langle C'|\phi_4\rangle^2) \} \\
&= \frac{1}{3} + \frac{2}{15}(a_1^2 + b_1^2 + c_1^2) - \frac{1}{12}(a_3^2 + b_3^2 + c_3^2)
\end{aligned}$$

$$-\frac{1}{20}(a_4^2 + b_4^2 + c_4^2) + \frac{1}{30}(a_1a_4 + b_1b_4 - c_1c_4) \quad (16)$$

Numerical study shows that when $a_1 = b_1 = c_1 = (4 + \sqrt{2})/\sqrt{30}$, $a_2 = b_2 = c_2 = 0$, $a_3 = b_3 = c_3 = 0$, and $a_4 = b_4 = -c_4 = (2 - \sqrt{2})/\sqrt{15}$, the successful probability $P_{Bob}(combined)$ has a maximum value $P_{Bob}(combined) = (3 + \sqrt{2})/6 \approx 0.7357$. That means, the best guessing strategy for Bob to know Alice's card is doing combined measurement on his cards.

IV. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we study the quantum card game of two players, Alice and Bob, who receive their cards from a card dealer. The dealer shuffles three quantum cards randomly and then sends Alice one of the cards. Bob then receives the remaining two cards from the dealer. In the classical world, the three cards are actually orthogonal to each others and thus are totally distinguishable. Therefore, Alice knows her card with 100 percent confidence, and Bob also knows Alice's card with 100 percent confidence by simply looking at his two cards.

In the quantum world, the three cards can be viewed as three quantum bits and are in general non-orthogonal to each other. The non-orthogonality forces both Alice and Bob to do measurements on their cards and then make their guesses. Our study shows that the best chance for Alice to know her card is $P_{Alice} = (2 + \sqrt{3})/6 \approx 0.6220$, a probability which is nearly doubled than a random guess $P = 1/3$. On the other hand, Bob can choose either to measure his cards one by one or to measure them collectively. Both ways of measuring all give Bob the optimal guessing probabilities $P_{Bob}(separate) = (11 + 3\sqrt{3})/24 \approx 0.6748$ and $P_{Bob}(combined) = (3 + \sqrt{2})/6 \approx 0.7357$ which are larger than Alice's optimal guessing probability. That is, Bob has a higher winning probability than Alice does in the quantum guessing game.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported in part by National Science Council of Taiwan NSC90-2112-M-033-011.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Peres and W. K. Wootters, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **66**(1991)1119.
- [2] S. Massar and S. Popescu, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **74**(1995)1259.
- [3] A. Peres and P. F. Scudo, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **86**(2001)4160.
- [4] N. Gisin and S. Popescu, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **83**(1999)432.
- [5] E. Bagan, M. Baig, A. Brey, and R. Munoz-Tapia, *Phys. Rev. A* **63**(2001)052309.

TABLES

	$P(1 r)$	$P(2 r)$	$P(3 r)$
spin-up	$\frac{2}{3} \cos^2 \theta$	$\frac{2}{3} \cos^2(\theta - \frac{\pi}{3})$	$\frac{2}{3} \cos^2(\theta + \frac{\pi}{3})$
spin-down	$\frac{2}{3} \sin^2 \theta$	$\frac{2}{3} \sin^2(\theta - \frac{\pi}{3})$	$\frac{2}{3} \sin^2(\theta + \frac{\pi}{3})$

TABLE I. Conditional probabilities for Alice's measurement outcomes